To: Columbia County Board of Commissioners

From: Scott Fraser, Webb District

RE: Written testimony on proposed ordinance 2021-2

Hello, this letter is in addition to the two letters submitted to land development services on 5-3-2021.

In the notice of public hearing letter item No. 6 states that I should raise this issue. I believe that a measure 49 claim is possible due to some of the changes in the proposed ordinance that would reduce my property value.

Item No. 7 tells me that some of the restrictions in ordinance 2021-2 could be unconstitutional. This could include my rights to build agricultural buildings on land zoned exclusive farm use, without having to hire a civil engineer and a surveyor. Therefore I object to those restrictions that may be determined to be unconstitutional.

The F.I.S. states, minimum floodplain requirements are set forth in 44 CFR, 60.3. As stated in 44 CFR, 60.3, (a)(3), "Review all permit applications to determine whether proposed building sites will be reasonably safe from flooding . . . "

Since Webb District has not flooded since the dike reconstruction in 1937, that should indicate that we are reasonably safe from flooding and that the proposed restrictions should not apply to us.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Scott Fraser, Webb District