

FW: Please DO NOT APPROVE the application for the NEXT renewables facility.

Robert Wheeldon <Robert.Wheeldon@columbiacountyor.gov>

Tue 1/25/2022 12:31 PM

To: Jacyn Normine <Jacyn.Normine@columbiacountyor.gov>

Cc: Robin McIntyre <Robin.McIntyre@columbiacountyor.gov>; Jesse Winterowd <jesse@winterbrookplanning.com>

From: Quinn Rivenburgh <hrivenburgh@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, January 25, 2022 11:10 AM

To: ePermits - Planning <planning@columbiacountyor.gov>

Subject: Please DO NOT APPROVE the application for the NEXT renewables facility.



Please add my comments below to the OPPOSITION to NEXT renewables facility.

Dear Commissioners,

My name is Hannah Rivenburgh, I live in Portland Oregon. I strongly urge you to protect the community and this sensitive ecological area from the dangers of the NEXT energy project and reject the application. The local farming community is united in opposition to this plant. In addition, local environmental organizations have identified these issues with the application:

- "The Commission cannot accept NEXT's mitigation plan as adequate, because it conflicts with uses in the area. NEXT's wetland mitigation plan will prevent the Beaver Drainage District from controlling flows of water to a significant number of farms that contribute to the character of the area and that provide valuable contributions to the local and state agricultural economy.
- Individuals associated with NEXT have a history of leaving communities with environmental contamination. The Transmissis Columbia Plateau failure and cleanup site in Odessa, WA, raises questions about NEXT's trustworthiness.
- Using this site for a biodiesel fuel plant is a poor decision, given that the soils allow for successful agricultural operations and that the area contains ecologically valuable wetlands.
- Proposed use is not water-related or water-dependent and thus, locating the use in the riparian corridor is not permitted. The impacts of the project in wetland and riparian areas are unnecessary and significant.
- The characteristics of the site (successful agricultural operations and sensitive wetland areas) are not suitable for a rail line used to transport fuels on an industrial scale.
- The proposed use will alter the character of the surrounding area in a manner that substantially impairs use of surrounding properties by introducing long, slow-moving trains preventing timely harvest and transport of crops, and industrial processes such as a gas flare that could create air, water, noise, and vibrational pollution in the area.
- Potential flaring, leaks/spills, fires, air pollution, water pollution, noise, and vibrations do not complement nearby agricultural operations, ecological functions, and wildlife habitat.

Additionally, I have further questions:

- How can we trust these jobs will go to local community members?
- What is the plan if there is a massive spill or leaking pipelines?
- If this project were to fail (like the biodiesel plant in Odessa), where will the accountability be to prevent its opening the door to turn into another fossil fuels export facility, like what happened when the Global Partners terminal failed to make biofuel profitable and quickly turned into a crude oil train terminal in 2013-14?

Please reject the application from NEXT Energy to protect our local farmers, wildlife, human health, and the Columbia's natural beauty.

Sincerely,

Hannah Rivenburgh